ISSUE	RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
3. Student Access to Healthy School Meals-Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) Expansion	students in eligible high-poverty schools, streamline meal service and reduced paperwork for families an ar	unch Act Section 2	7 CFR 245.9	 3a. Adjust the ISP multiplier to allow more schools to participate in CE thereby improving access to meals. 3b. Increase the current 4-year CEP election cycle to 10 years, providing stability for programs and families. 	

7 CFR 245

Healthy School Meals- Expand

4. Student Access to Direct certification eliminates the school meal application requirement for needy families, reduces Lunch Act Section 2 paperwork and processing for schools, improves Direct Certification certification efficiency and accuracy and decreases unpaid meal debt.

National School & Section 9

4a. Allow all states to use Medicaid

ISSUE RATIONALE	LAW/ACT	REGULATORY	
ISSUE	RATIONALE	CITATION	CITATION(S)

ISSUE	RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
8. Uniform Program Application and Design	SFAs that serve meals and snacks through the Natic School Lunch Program (NSLP), the School Breakfast Program (SBP), the Child and Adult Care Food Prog (CACFP) and the Summer Food Service Program (Smust complete detailed, redundant, and time-consuming annual applications to participate in each these separate programs. Each program has differe criteria with nuances on eligibility, monitoring, meal patterns and reporting.	Lunch Act: Sec 17 (r) Program for At-Risk Children	SFAs are required to implement and track the variances between two sets of parallel regulations: 7 CFR 210.9 (c) 7 CFR 226.15 7 CFR 226.16 7 CFR 226.17a]	 8a. Direct USDA to implement a seamless program design that meets the needs of SFAs operating multiple programs. 8b. Establish a multi-program application designed to address the SFAs with multiple Child Nutrition Programs (CNPs). This would generate a streamlined approach, eliminate redundancy, and reduce burden while maintaining program integrity and accountability. 8c. Convene a Task Force of program directors and state directors to review the data collected and determine critical data fields needed as part of the uniform program application. 	

ISSUE	RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
9. Smart Snacks in School	The NSLP and SBP Meal Patterns (7 CFR 210.10 a CFR 220.8) ensure foods offered with reimbursable meals are healthy choices for students, and researc • Z } Á • š Z • u o • Z À] u ‰ Œ } À Currently, the Smart Snacks in School rules limit iter approved as an entrée for service as a reimbursable meal, from being offered as daily a la carte choices. These inconsistent rules unnecessarily limit choices students, increasing the appeal of foods and bevera sold off-campus, which fail to meet any nutrition standards. School nutrition programs also compete with frequent on-campus food and beverage fundraisers that have been granted exemptions from nutrition standards. Allowing school nutrition programs to sell school meal entrees and sides as a la carte choices will ensure students can choose for a variety of healthy options in the cafeteria and send consistent message to students about the important of nutritious choices.	Kids Act (HHFKA): SEC. 208 NUTRITIO STANDARDS FOR A FOODS SOLD IN SCHOOL. Section 10 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1779) is amended SEC. 10 REGULATIONS.	7 CFR 210.10 7 CFR 210.11 (c)(3)	9a. Modify regulations by eliminating the restriction on the frequency with which reimbursable menu items may be offered a la carte. This would help to provide students with acceptable nutritious snacks and a la carte items. This would also support the financial stability of school nutrition operations and encourage students to eat foods and beverages that meet NSLP/SBP nutrition standards. These minimal revisions are consistent with the intent of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act.	
10. Emergency	Throughout the pandemic, the waiver provisions	Families First	7		

I hroughout the pandemic, the waiver provisions Waiver Authority authorized by Congress and implemented by USDA Coronavirus Food and Nutrition Services (FNS) were critical to ensuring student access to nutritious school meals a 116-127): combatting child hunger. The delay in implementing Section 2202 and extending waivers demonstrated the need to address long-term provisions and protocols for operating school nutrition programs in the incidence Appropriations Act, a natural disaster or other emergency.

Response Act (P.L. Continuing 2021

Other Extensions Ac (P. L. 116-159)

May 6, 2021 (revised) CNR 2021

12. Complexity in Reporting and Redundancy

Since the enactment of the National School Lunch A decades of amendments to the law and subsequent regulations have significantly added to program reporting requirements. Rather than utilizing existing data collections and reporting requirements to avoid duplication, new directives have, in most cases, required the creation of additional reports. In addition burdensome reporting requirements are ongoing throughout the school and calendar year, which disrupts the ability to effectively manage the progran For example, the attached document outlines the multiple and varied reports expected to be submitted by State Agencies. School nutrition professionals on the local district level are required to complete numerous reports on a monthly and annual basis. Many reporting forms are duplicative and would

12. ComplexitŒr/R12

ISSUE	RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
-------	-----------	---------------------	---------------------------	--------------------	-------

12.

ISSUE	RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
13. Streamlining Recordkeeping and Reporting- Food Safety Inspection (Parking Lot)	Due to workload, many local level health departmen have been unable to accommodate requests from S				

ISSUE

ISSUE	RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
-------	-----------	---------------------	---------------------------	--------------------	-------

17. Unpaid Meal Debt ^ E u u Œ • ‰ ‰ Œ] š š Z h ^

ISSUE RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
-----------------	---------------------	---------------------------	--------------------	-------

ISSUE	RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
21. Financial Management- Indirect Costs and Non-profit Level Limitation	Across the FNS regions and states, the process to assess and determine indirect costs is inconsistent. While FNS issued a manual, the application of the content and that of 2 CFR 200 is not consistent. Sor districts are confused on the categorization of costs well as the determination of direct and indirect costs. While guidance on maintaining fiscal solvency in the non-profit school nutrition program is recognized, prescribing the criteria for limiting cash balances to more than three months operating costs limits a sch district in operations, planning and investing back in the school nutrition program. Had SFAs been permitted to maintain larger cash balances, many would have been better equipped to manage pandemic related losses.	Section 22	2 CFR 200 Subpai 27 E 7 CFR 210.14 7 CFR 220.13 (i)	1a. Direct USDA to convene a workir group of key stakeholders and develop further training resources including computer training modules to further instruct school finance operators and school nutrition directors on the appropriate designation of costs and application of the program and administrative rules. USDA	

ISSUE	RATIONALE	LAW/ACT CITATION	REGULATORY CITATION(S)	SNA RECOMMENDATION	NOTES
24. Administrative Reviews	The HHFKA directed USDA to establish an administrative review oversight process identifying the frequency of reviews as well as the content. However, additional components have been added to the review process that are redundant and as cumbersome for SFAs as well as State agencies. Most states have applied for waivers on the frequency of reviews. The multiple review formats as well as the overlapping time schedules of administrative and procurement reviews have disrupted valuable technical assistance quality and quantity.	111-296): Section 207 National School Lunch Act: Section 22	7 CFR 210.18	24a. Direct USDA to conduct an independent study to evaluate the Administrative Review process and develop a streamlined, consolidated process that reduce burdens on SFAs. Risk indicators could be variables in the selection and scheduling processes. Findings of The Child Nutrition Reporting Burden Analysis Study should inform the effort to establish an improved administrative review process.	